



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,109	01/14/2004	Steven B. Dunn	MBI-1164	2938

7590 08/13/2007

KNOBLE YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY, LLC  
Suite 1350  
Eight Penn Center  
1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief  
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

10/757,109

Applicant(s)

DUNN ET AL.

Examiner

Yvonne R. Abbott

Art Unit

3644

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--


The Appeal Brief filed on 04 June 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

  
Yvonne R. Abbott  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3644

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): As to item (1), heading and subsequent paragraph VII (Grouping of Claims) should be deleted from the Brief since it is not longer a section under the new rules; section VII should be begin the Appellant's Response.

As to item (2), claim 63 is allowed, and therefore should be omitted from the rejected claim grouping 47-69 as indicated in the Pre-Appeal Review notice.

With respect to item 4, AT LEAST claims 23 and 66 contain means plus function language which should be specifically identified with a particular element in the "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter" section of the Brief according to 35 USC 112, 6th (e.g. storing means should be described as chamber 24 (or whatever element to which Appellant is referring)). Appellant should check verify that any other claims containing mean plus function language are corrected accordingly .